

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,727	05/01/2001	Craig R. Malloy	119929-1031	8105
7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
Thomas C. Wright			COLE, MONIQUE T	
Sanford E. Warren, Jr. GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000			1743	
Dallas, TX 75	5201		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/846,727	MALLOY ET AL.	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monique T. Cole	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may and substitution of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 h	<u>//ay 2004</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-13</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 8,14,15,18,20,26 and 27 is/are reject	ted.					
7) Claim(s) 16,17,19,21-25 and 28 is/are objected	ed to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.					
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	•	n received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received.				
Attachment(s)	🗖 :					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/846,727

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8 & 18 recite the limitation "the flux". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 20, 26 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,302,520 to Goux (Goux).

Goux teaches a method of enzymatic synthesis of isotopically labeled carbohydrates and sugars. Labeled citric acid cycle (Krebs cycle) intermediates may be rapidly and conveniently synthesized from labeled pyruvate, lactate or alanine. See abstract. The labeling is done with isotopic ¹³C and is measured via nuclear magnetic resonance. See Example 7. While not expressly disclosed in Goux, the determination of the rate of gluconeogenesis is inherent because the rate of production of the intermediates can be used as an estimate.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,727

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 14, 15 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Use of ${}^{2}H_{2}O$ for Estimating Rates of Gluconeogenesis" by Landau et al. (Landau) in view of USP 6,764,817 to Schneider (Schneider).

Landau discloses using deuterium to measure the rate of gluconeogenesis. Landau utilizes mass spectrometry to make the determination.

Schneider teaches the functional equivalency of mass spectrometers, infrared spectrometers and nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometers for the purpose of determining detecting labeled metabolite concentration and flux. Thus, given the art-recongnized functional utility of these measuring means, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/846,727

Art Unit: 1743

one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Landau by using NMR in the place of mass spectrometry with the expectation of achieving suitable results.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-7 & 9-13 allowed.
- 7. Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 16, 17, 19, 21-25 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: .

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. J. Cole Monique T. Cole

Examiner

Art Unit 1743